

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/889,085	01/09/2002	Patricia Lynne Conway	28053/38258	6842	
7590 09/08/2005			EXAMINER		
JEFFREY S. SHARP			AFREMOVA, VERA		
MARSHALL,	GERSTEIN AND BORUN			<del></del> -	
6300 SEARS TOWER ,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
233 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE			1651		
CHICAGO, IL	. 60606-6357	DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/889,085	CONWAY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Vera Afremova	1651		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vera Afremova	1651	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
time periods:  a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or a statutory period for reply expire I examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or a statutory period for reply expire I examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or a statutory period for reply expires I have a statutory period for reply expires I ha	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, of	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed <u>AMENDMENTS</u></li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	is of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
<ul> <li>(c) ∑ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: see attached. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.</li> </ul>	corresponding number of finally rej		the issues for
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>41 and 76-153</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>20-40 and 63-75</u> .		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>	nt before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>nc</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered a necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ls to provide a 1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu		-	
see attached.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	



Art Unit: 1651

## **Attachment to Advisory Action**

The new issues are related to the claimed term "harvested" microbes and the new issues require further consideration(s) including possible search.

Applicants arguments filed 8/01/2005 have been considered but not found persuasive because they are mostly based on the newly raised issues with respect to the meaning and criticality of claimed term "harvested" microbes. The fact that microbes are grown, cultured or conditioned on media of various contents for the purpose of selecting the most efficient variants of interest is clearly known in the prior art. The plain meaning of term "harvested" or harvesting microbes from a selection medium does not expend beyond some common protocols of collecting cells. Most important is the manner in "such" it has been done. The claimed invention does not point out the "manner such" as it might be intended. Thus, the arguments based on cell improvements resulting from plain and simple harvesting do not appear to have persuasive grounds.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vera Afremova whose telephone number is (571) 272-0914. The examiner can normally be reached from Monday to Friday from 9.30 am to 6.00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached at (571) 272-0926.

The fax phone number for the TC 1600 where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology center 1600, telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Vera Afremova

AU 1651

September 2, 2005

VERA AFREMOVA

PRIMARY EXAMINER

V. Afren